Cynthia Sullivan Greg Nickels, Bruce Laing Paul Barden, Audrey Gruger, Lois North INTRODUCED BY: Ron Sims, Bill Reams

April 26, 1989 0699D:SM:mls

PROPOSED NO.

89-340

ordinance no. 8936

AN ORDINANCE providing for the addition of four Superior Court judgeships to be appointed over a two-year period as authorized by Chapter 323, 1987 Session Laws, consistent with an agreement in principle; and authorizing the Executive and the Council Chair to sign a Protocol with the Superior Court.

PREAMBLE:

The Washington State Legislature, under Chapter 323, Laws of 1987 authorized the appointment of seven additional judgeships for the King County Superior Court. The council previously authorized three of the seven judgeships, effective January 1, 1988.

Certain provisions of an Agreement in Principle, signed April 21, 1989, establish a phased-in schedule for the appointment of the four remaining judgeships, to be completed prior to January 1, 1991.

Other provisions of the Agreement in Principle establish concurrence on the use of pre-existing court facilities for additional judges, the development of a long-term methodology for determining future judicial staffing needs, the completion of a Superior Court Operational Master Plan and an update of the Court Space CIP Study, and commitment to monitor the innovations and advances in case management and court operations as recommended by the Bench/Bar Delay Reduction Task Force, through the creation of an interbranch Planning and Coordination Committee.

Pursuant to the Agreement in Principle, a Protocol has been prepared incorporating all the terms and conditions of the agreement. The King County Superior Court has expressed its agreement with the terms of the Protocol and has authorized the Presiding Judge to sign the Protocol.

A duly enacted ordinance of the County Council is necessary to authorize signature of the Protocol by the Council Chair and County Executive.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF KING COUNTY:

<u>SECTION 1</u>. The council agrees with the provisions of the Protocol attached hereto, as Attachment A, and hereby authorizes the council chair and the executive to sign the same.

SECTION 2. In accordance with Chapter 323, Laws of 1987 (and as extended by Engrossed House Bill No. 1802) and as provided by the Protocol described in Section 1, the council hereby authorizes four additional judgeships within the King County superior court, 1990. of april, 1989. ATTEST:

one judgeship to be effective on each of the following dates: July 1, 1989; December 31, 1989; July 1, 1990; and December 31, INTRODUCED AND READ for the first time this 24^{12} day PASSED this 1st day of Many KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON APPROVED this 4th day of May

8936

PROTOCOL

This protocol is an agreement among the King County Council, King County Superior Court and King County Executive, who agree as follows:

1. Additional Judges

The County Council in Ordinance No. 8936 authorized the Governor to appoint four additional Superior Court judges, pursuant to Chapter 323, 1987 Session Laws creating such additional judgeships for King County, over a two year period, one judge to be appointed on each of the following dates: (1) July 1, 1989; (2) December 31, 1989; (3) July 1, 1990; and (4) December 31, 1990; it being expressly understood that the Superior Court will absorb from its existing 1989 budget all operating costs incurred in 1989 associated with the judge to be added July 1, 1989. Superior Court will seek to reduce the cost of court operations as much as reasonably possible, by such means as electronic recording of court proceedings.

2. Courtroom/Facilities

The County Council and County Executive agree to provide the following courtrooms and facilities for the four judicial positions:

A. the 12th floor, secure, criminal arraignment court shall be assigned to a Superior Court judge, and the judge to be added July 1, 1989 shall be assigned a courtroom and chambers effectively made available by permanent use of the 12th floor courtroom. It is understood that the 12th floor courtroom is not suitable for trials but serves as a courtroom

31 32

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

. 25

26

27

28

29

30

for the Chief Criminal Judge as stated above;

- B. the courtroom currently under construction at the Youth Services Center for the Juvenile Court should be completed by December 31, 1989, and will be available for a judge;
- C. the former arraignment court, located on the 10th floor, shall be remodeled to add suitable chambers for a judge, and requisite space for associated staff, should be ready no later than July 1, 1990, such courtroom is only suitable for non-jury cases;
- D. a courtroom currently used by a Family Law Commissioner shall be made available for the fourth judge. The Family Law Commissioner so displaced shall have adequate and timely substitute work space provided which is suitable for the Family Law calendar;
- E. the County Executive and Superior Court will initiate and complete on a priority basis a Capital Improvement Program Workplan which will provide an update of the study of use of space in the courthouse and a Superior Court Operational Master Plan, which study shall commence no later than July 1, 1989 and should be completed no later than June 30, 1990;
- F. the CIP Program workplan and budget shall be reviewed by the Council and approved by Ordinance. The workplan shall address issues identified by previous studies regarding Court facility space standards, utilization, and courtroom assignment, and flexibility in Court facilities design. Consideration shall be given to retaining the consultant team that conducted the 1984 Space Use/Management study for portions of the study dealing with Court issues. A joint Court-Council-Executive technical committee shall provide input regarding the preparation and execution of the CIP study.

3. Future Judicial Positions

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

- A. An interbranch Planning and Coordination Committee will be established within 60 days and be composed of the following members: One Superior Court judge (Chair); one member of the King County Council; the County Executive (or designee); and one representative of the Seattle-King County Bar Association.
- B. The Committee will facilitate communication and cooperation among branches on court issues related to new technology, case management methodology, and judicial and related staffing needs in response to changing workload.
- C. As a first priority task, the Committee will direct the preparation of a study plan and schedule for the development of a methodology to assist King County in analyzing and determining the need for future judicial positions.
- (1) The methodology shall be developed by a joint staff technical committee with expert statistical experienced judicial administration consultant assistance as determined to be appropriate by the Committee. The methodology development shall start from consideration of the trial demand approach utilized by the Delay Reduction Task Force, examining recent and relevant data available, and corrections and modifications proposed by Executive, Council, and Superior Court Administration. In addition, it should examine any adjustment appropriate to fully reflect total judicial time demanded by filed cases, beyond actual trial time.
- (2) Any methodology developed shall specify assumptions and resultant costs, and shall require annual updating of relevant data.

- D. Innovations in court operation and case management practices as recommended by the Task Force draft report merit high visibility and should be periodically reviewed by the Committee. These include:
 - -- The individual calendaring demonstration project
 - -- The caseflow monitoring project
 - The Seattle-King County Bar Association

 Monitoring Committee project regarding judicial

 accountability, productivity, and monitoring of

 the judicial day
 - -- The demonstration project regarding the use of video/electronic recording equipment
 - -- The efficacy of changes in court departmental structure
 - -- Other issues as determined by the Committee
- 4. In case of dispute or disagreement in the interpretation of this document, the matter shall be submitted to the prosecuting attorney or such other party as all three branches shall agree upon for the resolution of any such dispute. Only elected officials of each branch of government shall participate in such process unless otherwise ordered by the mediator.
- 5. The King County Superior Court expressed its agreement with the terms of this protocol and authorized the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court to sign this protocol.

The King Countý Council expressed its agreement with the terms of this protocol in Ordinance No. authorizing the Council Chair and the Executive to sign this protocol. DATED this 4th day of Young, 1989. King County Executive King County Super Presiding Judge King County

1 i